DIAGNOSTIC VALUE OF 99mTc-LABELED RED BLOOD CELL SINGLE PHOTON EMISSION TOMOGRAPHY FOR THE DIAGNOSIS OF lIVER HEMANGIOMAS

Samir Khraisat, MD, MSc (Nuclear Medicine)*, Hussam Al-Kaylani, MD, MSc (Nuclear Medicine)*

ABSTRACT

Objective: Technetium-99m red blood cell scintigraphy is a very specific method to differentiate a haemangioma from other hepatic masses. The purpose of this study is to evaluate the efficacy of planar scintigraphy and single photon emission computed tomography Technetium-99m-labeled red blood cell in the investigation of suspected hepatic cavernous hemangioma.

Methods: The study group consisted of one hundred and five patients, 62 females (59%) and 43 males (41%), between 23-69 years of age (mean age of 41). These patients were evaluated for liver masses found on ultrasound. The final diagnosis was made after liver biopsy or aspiration cytology in 26 patients and after follow-up, by maintaining stable clinical course ranging for one year with no changes in the size or appearance of the lesion on ultrasound in 79 patients.

Results: One hundred and thirty two lesions were found; haemangioma in 96 patients and non-hemangiomatous lesions in 36 patients. Planar 99mTc- red blood cell showed sensitivity and specificity of 67% and 100% respectively, while, 99mTc-red blood cell- single photon emission computed tomography showed sensitivity and specificity of 81%, 97%, respectively. The use of single photon emission computed tomography showed better sensitivity value than PS from hemangiomas < 2.5 cm (61% for single photon emission computed tomography versus 24% for planar scintigraphy).

Conclusion: Tc-99m RBC scintigraphy is a simple, noninvasive and accurate technique in the detection of hepatic hemangioma. It can distinguish hemangioma from other hepatic lesions. Single photon emission computed tomography is superior to planar imaging in the detection of small hemangioma and should be performed whenever planar imaging fails to show the lesion by 2 hours. Lesions less than 1.1 cm cannot be detected by Tc-99m red blood cell single photon emission computed tomography because they are beyond the limit of spatial resolution of the gamma camera used.
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Introduction

Hemangioma is the most common benign hepatic tumor (1-3) and second most common neoplasm of the liver, following intrahepatic metastases (4). Usually, they occur as a solitary lesion, however, they may be multiple in 10% of patients (5). It occurrence in the general population is around (1-20%) (1,2,6-10), and it is more common in females than males (2,7).

Because hepatic hemangiomas are frequent, most often asymptomatic and have a very low rate of complications (2,8-11), this lesion does not require surgical resection (1,5,12). Therefore, the role of imaging is to diagnose the lesion, thus avoiding an unnecessary invasive procedure (5).

The imaging features of a hemangioma depend on its size (6): typical hemangiomas are mostly less than 3 cm in diameter. Most hemangiomas remain stable in size (3,8) or demonstrate minimal increase in diameter over time (2,3).

In cases of typical hemangioma, imaging modalities like magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (3,13-17), computerized tomography (CT) (3,16,18,19) scan and
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ultrasound (US) are highly reliable for diagnosis. Although atypical hemangiomas are rare, many radiologists will encounter atypical findings due to the high prevalence of hepatic hemangiomas. This may lead to misdiagnosis and confusion with other lesions. In some cases, a specific diagnosis can be established with imaging, modalities, however, in other atypical cases, the diagnosis will remain uncertain at imaging, and may require histopathologic examination.

Nuclear medicine imaging techniques continue to play a unique role in the evaluation of hepatic masses. Although many useful radiodiagnostic agents are available, the predominant nuclear medicine study used to evaluate hepatic masses is technetium-labeled red blood cell (RBC) "blood-pool" scintigraphy. Hepatic blood-pool scintigraphy is extremely useful for the confirmation or exclusion of benign hepatic hemangiomas. With this method, there is decreased activity on early dynamic images and increased activity on delayed blood pool images obtained over 30–50 minutes. All hemangiomas showed various presentations of the perfusion blood pool mismatch, which is the basis for diagnosis. The sensitivity and specificity of blood-pool scanning for the detection of hemangiomas has continued to improve over the years. This improvement has been caused predominantly by advancements in instrumentation; especially the development of single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) imaging. Numerous studies have demonstrated that the sensitivity of single photon emission CT is superior to that of planar imaging.

This study was carried out to demonstrate the most important advantages of ⁹⁹mTc-blood pool SPECT versus the established method of planar scintigraphy (PS) in the non-invasive diagnosis of liver hemangiomas.

Methods

Patients

The study was conducted at King Hussein Medical Center (KHMC) during the period between March 2002 and August 2003. One hundred and five patients, 62 females (59%) and 43 males (41%), between 23-69 years of age (mean age of 41) were included in this study. These patients were referred to nuclear medicine department after the incidental finding of a solid liver mass/s found on ultrasonography. The final diagnosis was made after liver biopsy and aspiration cytology in 26 patients and by maintaining a stable clinical course with no change in the size and shape of the lesion, for 6-12 months, by ultrasonography in 79 patients.

Scintigraphy with ⁹⁹mTc-Labeled RBC

Scintigraphy was performed using in vivo method for labeling red blood cells with ⁹⁹mTc-pertechnetate. Patients were injected intravenously with 20–30 mCi of ⁹⁹mTc-pertechnetate.

Planner Imaging

Anterior dynamic planner imaging, for the liver area, was started immediately after the injection. The imaging sequence was as follows: a 2-second frame for 2 min to assess blood flow to the liver followed by a 30-second frame for 45 minutes. A delayed static Planner images at 2 hours post injection were performed with 128 x 128 image matrix, a 10% window and an energy peak of 140 (KeV). For each patient, an anterior, posterior, and both lateral views were taken for the liver area, each with 700, 000 counts. A low-energy high-resolution collimated gamma camera without zoom was used. The camera was positioned as close as possible to the patients.

SPECT Images

Single photon emission tomography imaging was performed after the second planner images, 2 hours post injection. Acquisition protocol includes the use of an elliptical orbit, 360°, 64 emission (20-30 second per emission) and we use a 128 x 128 image matrix with 1.4-1.5 magnification. Images were processed using a commercial software program (G Entegra), in the transverse, sagittal and coronal slices; using butterworth filter with 0.3 cutoff or Hanning with 0.8-cutoff and slices thickness 6-12mm.

Images Assessment

Two readers assessed each set of images. When the two readers disagree; a third reader will decide which study represent a positive results for haemangioma. We defined a 'focal positive study' when we observed one or more clearly defined areas of abnormal increase trace uptake in relation to the surrounding tissue, placed in the liver area; visible in delayed planner or SPECT images, not seen in the early images. The study was 'negative' when it did not correspond to the previous situation.

Methods of Analysis

Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) were decided in the traditional methods.

Results

The study group includes 105 patients; 62 females (59%) and 43 males (41%).

Ultrasonic Results

One hundred and thirty two lesions were found. The sizes of the lesions ranged from 0.5 to 9 cm. With regard to the distribution of the lesions, 88 (84%) Patients had single lesions; and 17 (16%) patients had
multiple lesions. One hundred and twenty (91%) lesions were in the right lobe and 12 (9%) lesions in the left lobe.

Pathological Results

Hemangiomas were found in 96 lesions and non-hemangiomatous lesion in the remainder which includes; hepatocellular carcinoma, focal nodular hyperplasia, simple cysts and metastasis. Four patients had more than one hemangioma in their liver (Fig. 1).

99m Tc-RBC planners Scintigraphy (PS)

Tc-99m RBC planner Scintigraphy (Table I and II) was truly positive in 64 out of 96 lesions and false negative in the remainder 32 hemangiomatous lesions. There was no false positive in any non-hemangiomatous lesion. For lesions ≥ 2.5 cm 56 out of 63 lesions were detected, while only 8 out of 33 lesions < 2.5 cm were detected by PS. The smallest lesion detected by PS was 1.8 cm.

99m Tc-RBC SPECT Scintigraphy (SPECT RBC) (Table I)

SPECT (Table I and II) was positive in 83/96 and negative in 13/96 haemangiomatous lesions. There was one false positive result in non-haemangiomatous lesions. All lesion ≥ 2.5 cm were detected by SPECT RBC while 20/33 lesion < 2.5 cm were detected by SPECT RBC. The smallest lesion detected by SPECT was 1.1 cm. SPECT scan diagnosed 2 hemangiomatic lesions not diagnosed by US in patients with cirrhotic liver.

Discussion

Hepatic hemangiomas are frequent and usually discovered incidentally during an US or CT scan examination of the abdomen (225).

Typical hemangiomas are mostly less than 3 cm in diameter (3). Large hemangiomas are often termed giant hemangiomas when they exceed 4 cm in diameter (23,34). However, some authors define giant hemangiomas as lesions greater than 6 cm (1,20) or 12 cm in diameter (35,36).

Hemangiomas are most often asymptomatic (1,2). Complications are mostly observed in large hemangiomas (1,5,11,37) and can be divided into alterations of internal architecture (37), coagulopathy (1,5), and inflammation; hemorrhage (11,38), which can cause hemoperitoneum (38); volvulus; arteriportal venous shunting (27,39); and compression of adjacent structures (38,37,3). The clinical importance of these tumors relates to distinguishing them from malignancy. Therefore, the role of imaging is to help diagnose the lesion.

The most commonly used imaging modalities to evaluate hemangiomas are US (25,20,21,24,34), CT (8,10,18,19,34), MRI (8,15,17) and technetium-99m labeled red blood cell planar and single photon emission computed tomography (Tc-99m RBC SPECT).

The typical appearance by US is a homogeneous, echogenic mass with well-defined margins (2). However, this appearance is non-specific (2,20-22) and can also be seen in adenomas, hepatocellular carcinomas, and metastatic disease (9,23,34) or cannot be seen in patients with cirrhotic liver (2,32) or giant hemangioma (25). The CT scan findings consist of a low attenuating lesion on nonenhanced images following intravenous administration of contrast material; peripheral puddling of contrast is seen (8,10,22). The classic findings are not always evident (2,6,18,19,34) or can be seen with other lesion (39).

At MR imaging, hemangiomas are characterized by well-defined margins and high signal intensity on T2-weighted images as the time to echo (TE) is lengthened, and demonstrates peripheral puddling of contrast on the enhanced images (8,16,17). Approximately 5% of malignant tumors mimic hemangiomas on these long TE images, which reduce the specificity of MRI for hemangiomas (13,40). Its sensitivity is relatively low for small hemangiomas (16), but may be better than Tc-99m RBC SPECT.

Tc-99m RBC SPECT scintigraphy is extremely useful for the confirmation or exclusion of benign hepatic hemangiomas (4,7,14,25-30,34). With this method, there is decreased activity on early dynamic images following injection of radioactive material, and increased activity (Mismatch Pattern) on delayed blood pool images obtained over 30-50 minutes (7,29). Numerous studies have demonstrated that the sensitivity of single photon emission CT is superior to that of planar imaging (7,30).

Our results for planer scintigraphy with 99m Tc-RBC showed an overall sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values of 67%, 100%, 100% and 53%, respectively, while SPECT 99m Tc-RBC showed an overall sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values of 86%, 97%, 99%, and 70% respectively. The use of SPECT improved the overall sensitivity by 19%.

SPECT demonstrated 19 hemangiomas that were not detected with planer imaging (Fig 2) 12 of them were smaller than 2.5 cm. Planar imaging alone would have missed these lesions.

When the hemangioma size was ≥ 2.5 cm; the sensitivity of SPECT was 100% while for planer images, the sensitivity was 89%, which is still relatively high. When hemangiomas confined to nodules smaller than 2.5 cm in diameter; 24% of hemangiomas were detected by planar delayed RBC imaging. With the addition of SPECT, the sensitivity in the detection of hemangiomas less than 2.5 cm, was improved by 37% (61% versus 24%).

The smallest hemangioma shown by delayed RBC-SPECT scanning was 1.1 cm in diameter, compared with 1.8 cm by planar RBC scanning.

Scintigraphic diagnosis of a liver hemangioma may be difficult when its size approaches the spatial resolution of a gamma camera, and it may not be visualized on a Tc-99m RBC planer and SPECT.
studies. This was obvious with the decrease in the sensitivity for lesion less than 2.5 cm and with non-visualization of lesion less than 1.2 cm.

When confronted with clinical data and a preliminary radiologic study suggestive of hemangioma, serial planar blood-pool scintigraphy (with SPECT if the lesion is < 3-4 cm) should probably be the initial diagnostic examination. Due to its high specificity, when the suspected hepatic hemangioma is confirmed by a positive radionuclide blood-pool study, the clinical evaluation of patients with hepatic masses can generally be terminated.

False positive results had been described in literature (41-43). In our study there was one false positive lesion seen by SPECT, which was not seen neither by PS nor by US. False negative results in large hemangioma were also reported in the literature but not in our study (44,45).

The SPECT approach is considered superior to planar blood pool imaging because of improved diagnostic yield reduced patient imaging time, and greater ease of correlation with other cross-sectional imaging modalities. SPECT allowed a correct visualization of abdominal and intrahepatic major vessels.

In comparison to MRI, 99mTc-labeled red blood cell (RBC) scanning is considered a highly specific technique for the study of hepatic hemangiomas. However, planar imaging displays poor sensitivity for the identification of small lesions.

Conclusion

This study suggests that SPECT-RBC of the liver is the nuclear medicine study of choice in the diagnosis of hepatic hemangioma. It is useful for differentiating hemangioma from other liver tumors. Due to its high specificity, the clinical evaluation of patients with hepatic masses can generally be terminated when it is positive.

It has a considerably higher sensitivity than planar imaging in the detection of hemangiomas, and greater ease of correlation with other cross-sectional imaging modalities.

Fig. 1. Patients with two hemangiomas in the right lobe of the liver.
Fig. 2. Patients with hemangioma seen on SPECT images but not seen on planer image (left bottom).

**Table I.** The results of planner and SPECT labeled RBC Scintigraphy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Us (Total=132)</th>
<th>SPECT</th>
<th>PS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Hemangioma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;2.5 cm</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2.5 cm</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2.5 cm</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2.5 cm</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Non-hemangioma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table II.** The results of sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPP for both PS and SPECT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SPECT</th>
<th>PS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPV</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPV</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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